

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
7 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

8 MEREDITH MCGLOWN,

9 Plaintiff,

10 v.

11 STATE OF WASHINGTON, et al.,

12 Defendants.  
13

CASE NO. C19-1658RSM

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

14 This matter is before the Court *sua sponte* following the Court's prior Order to Show  
15 Cause. The Court previously granted Plaintiff leave to proceed *in forma pauperis*. Dkt. #3.  
16 Subsequently, the Court noted its difficulty in understanding Plaintiff's claims and its inability  
17 to identify a jurisdictional basis for filing the action before this Court. Dkt. #6. On October 24,  
18 2019, the Court ordered Plaintiff to show cause why her action should not be dismissed and to  
19 explain: "(1) the law or laws upon which her claims are based, (2) how the disputes fall within  
20 the scope of those laws, (3) the specific relief she seeks, and (4) how her Complaint otherwise  
21 fits within the Court's limited jurisdiction." *Id.* at 3. Plaintiff was given 21 days to respond and  
22 warned that her action would be dismissed if she did not respond. *Id.*  
23  
24

1 Plaintiff failed to respond to the Court's Order to Show Cause. Accordingly, for that  
2 reason and the reasons identified in the Court's Order to Show Cause and having reviewed the  
3 remainder of the record in this action, the Court finds and ORDERS:

- 4 1. All claims asserted in Plaintiff's Complaint (Dkt. #4) are DISMISSED without prejudice.
- 5 2. Plaintiff's pending Application for Court-Appointed Counsel (Dkt. #5) is DENIED as  
6 moot.
- 7 3. This action is now CLOSED.
- 8 4. The Clerk shall provide a copy of this Order to Plaintiff at her last known address.

9 DATED this 3 day of December, 2019.

10  
11 

12 RICARDO S. MARTINEZ  
13 CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24